SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/10 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Missoula State: MT

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$4,254,622 PJ's Size Grouping*: C PJ Since (FY): 2003

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (| Percentile):* |
|---|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 3 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 99.41 % | 96.10 % | 1 | 93.55 % | 93 | 95 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 98.96 % | 95.46 % | 1 | 86.03 % | 99 | 99 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 9.38 | 7.51 | 1 | 4.83 | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 94.06 % | 1 | 81.34 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 100.00 % | 98.39 % | 1 | 70.65 % | 99 | 100 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 100.00 % | 91.52 % | 1 | 81.57 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 51.85 % | 42.40 % | 1 | 45.66 % | 59 | 62 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 99.42 % | 1 | 96.25 % | 100 | 100 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In St | tate: 1 / 3 | Nation | ally: 96 | 98 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | d Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$26,111 | \$14,362 | | \$27,146 | 54 Units | 35.30 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$22,510 | \$10,277 | | \$15,140 | 99 Units | 64.70 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$0 | \$15,698 | | \$20,860 | 0 Units | 0.00 |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$122 | | \$3,210 | 0 Units | 0.00 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (215 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

 $^{^{\}star\star}$ - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units MT Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Missoula **Total Development Costs:** Rental Homebuyer Homeowner 3.9 % **CHDO Operating Expenses:** PJ: (average reported cost per unit in (% of allocation) 1.2 % **National Avg:** PJ: \$180,737 \$132,031 \$0 **HOME-assisted projects**) \$81,695 \$92,264 \$14,968 State:* 0.83 R.S. Means Cost Index: National:** \$97,127 \$76,352 \$23,684 Rental Homebuyer Homeowner TBRA

| | | • | Homeowner | IBRA | | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner | TBRA |
|--|------|------|-----------|------|----------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|------|
| RACE: | % | % | % | % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | % | % | % | % |
| White: | 88.9 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 57.4 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 22.2 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 9.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 0.0 | 24.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 9.3 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL A | ASSISTAN | · | | |
| 1 Person: | 68.5 | 42.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 5.6 | 0.0 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 24.1 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 3.7 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 9.3 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 85.2 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 1.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant | Units / Co | mpleted Un | its Since 200 | 1 22 |

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

— HOME PROGRAM — SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): | Missoula | State: | MT | Group Rank: | 96 |
|----------------------------------|----------|--------|----|--------------|----|
| | - | | | (Percentile) | |

State Rank: 1 / 3 PJs

Overall Rank: 98

Summary: 0 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 74.60% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 52.28% | 100 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 100 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 91.32% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-) | /EARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 3.030 | 0.08 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.